

Precedent, Persuasion, and the PTAB

APRIL 13, 2016

In this article published by the ABA's *Landslide Magaz*ine in its March/April 2016 edition, David Cavanaugh and Chief Patent Counsel of United Patents Inc. Jonathan Stroud explore the precedential value of PTAB decisions and how they might bind the PTAB and others in subsequent matters.

In the first three years since the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act established post-grant proceedings, parties have filed thousands of covered business method reviews and *inter partes* reviews, resulting in more than 700 final written decisions, thousands of orders, and tens of thousands of pages of written opinion from the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB). This deluge of decisions and information leads logically to questions about the relative weight of opinions and orders, their precedential value, and whether and how parties should cite to—or rely on—them. The purpose of this article is to explore the precedential value of PTAB decisions and how they might bind the PTAB and others in subsequent matters. Read the full article.

Authors



David L. Cavanaugh PARTNER

Chair, Post-Grant Proceedings Group

david.cavanaugh@wilmerhale.com

+1 202 663 6025

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP is a Delaware limited liability partnership. WilmerHale principal law offices: 60 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, +1 617 526 6000; 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20037, +1 202 663 6000. Our United Kingdom office is operated under a separate Delaware limited liability partnership of solicitors and registered foreign lawyers authorized and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (SRA No. 287488). Our professional rules can be found at www.sra.org.uk/solicitors/code-of-conduct.page. A list of partners and their professional qualifications is available for inspection at our UK office. In Beijing, we are registered to operate as a Foreign Law Firm Representative Office. This material is for general informational purposes only and does not represent our advice as to any particular set of facts; nor does it represent any undertaking to keep recipients advised of all legal developments. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. © 2004-2024 Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP