

Federalist Society Teleforum on *Limelight v. Akamai* and *Nautilus v. Biosig*

JUNE 27, 2014

The US Supreme Court recently decided another important pair of property rights cases. *Nautilus v. Biosig Instruments* concerns definiteness and the scope of patents. In *Limelight Networks v. Akamai Technologies*, the Court held that a defendant is not liable for inducing infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) when no one has directly infringed under Section 217(a) or any other statutory provision. How important are these decisions? What are their implications? Listen to the podcast [here](#).

Speakers:

Thomas G. Saunders, Partner, WilmerHale

Aaron M. Panner, Partner, Kellogg, Huber, Hansen, Todd, Evans & Figel, P.L.L.C

[READ MORE ABOUT THE EVENT](#)

Speakers



Thomas G. Saunders

PARTNER

✉ thomas.saunders@wilmerhale.com

📍 WASHINGTON DC

☎ + 1 202 663 6536

Related Solutions

You May Be Interested In



Managing Cyber Risks in 2024: Regulatory Compliance, Litigation Risk, Third-Party Cybersecurity Incident Exposure

APRIL 24, 2024

SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT



FIA Law & Compliance Division Conference 2024

APRIL 24–26, 2024

SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT





18th Annual Flagship Conference on Economic Sanctions Enforcement and Compliance

APRIL 29, 2024

SPEAKING ENGAGEMENT

[VIEW ALL EVENTS](#)