

FinTech Webinar Series: Vendor Management Principles

Evolving Best Practices of Bank Service Providers

February 14, 2013



Speakers



Russell Bruemmer
Partner
WilmerHale



Eric Mogilnicki
Partner
WilmerHale



Jeffrey Hydrick
Special Counsel
WilmerHale



Jonathan McKernan
Senior Associate
WilmerHale



Overview

- Recent developments
 - Evolving regulatory landscape
 - Regulatory guidance
 - The new regulator: the CFPB
- Legal requirements
 - General requirements
 - Special application to technology service providers
- Regulatory supervision
 - Bank examinations
 - Technology service provider examinations
 - CFPB examinations
 - Enforcement authority
- Case studies



Recent Developments



Evolving Regulatory Landscape

- Banks continue to refine their vendor management programs.
- Changes driven in part by bank regulators.
- Recent enforcement actions have targeted compliance and other issues involving service providers.
- Regulators also have revised regulatory guidance.



Regulatory Guidance

- Guidance applicable to financial institutions
 - OCC
 - OCC Advisory Letter 2000-9: Third-Party Risk
 - OCC Bulletin 2001-47: Third Party Relationships
 - OCC Bulletin 2002-16: Bank Use of Foreign-Based Third-Party Service Providers
 - FDIC
 - FIL-49-99: Bank Service Company Act
 - FIL-50-2001: Bank Technology Bulletin: Technology Outsourcing Information Documents
 - Federal Reserve
 - SR 00-4 (SUP): Outsourcing of Information Technology and Transaction Processing
 - FFIEC
 - Examination Booklet on Outsourcing Technology Services Risk (Jun. 2004)
 - Risk Management of Outsourced Technology Services (Nov. 2000)



Regulatory Guidance (cont.)

- Guidance applicable to both financial institutions and TSPs
 - CFPB Bulletin 2012-03: Service Providers
 - FFIEC IT Examination Booklet on the Supervision of Technology Service Providers (Oct. 2012)
 - Guidance for examiners and banks on supervising TSPs.
 - Uniform Rating System for Information Technology (URSIT).
- Guidance applicable to TSPs
 - FFIEC Administrative Guidelines – Implementation of Interagency Programs for the Supervision of Technology Service Providers (Oct. 2012)
 - Describes the interagency supervisory process.



The New Regulator: the CFPB

- Expansive jurisdiction
- New perspectives
- New authority
- New energy



Recent Enforcement Activity

- Capital One consent orders targeted high pressure telemarketing sales tactics by vendor call centers in marketing credit card add-ons. \$60 million in penalties and \$150 million in customer redress.
- Discover consent orders also targeted telemarketing sales tactics by vendor call centers in marketing credit card add-ons. \$14 million in penalties and \$200 million in customer redress.
- Amex consent orders targeted deceptive and other unlawful credit card practices arising out of ineffective oversight of affiliated service providers. \$27.5 million in penalties and \$85 million in customer redress.
- First Bank of Delaware consent order targeted AML violations arising out of inadequate oversight of vendor payment processors that processed fraudulent transactions. \$15 million in civil money penalties, \$500,000 in customer redress, and loss of charter.



Legal Requirements



General Requirements

- Banks must take appropriate steps to ensure that their service provider relationships are conducted in safe and sound manner and in compliance with law.
 - Special application of risk management principles.
- Specific vendor management requirements are risk-based.
- One size does not fit all. Different for each service provider relationship.
- Supervision by risk
 - Operational risk - the risk of loss due to failures of people, processes, systems, and external events.
 - Operational risk is “currently at the top of the list of safety and soundness issues” and might have “eclipse[d] credit risk as a safety and soundness challenge.” Comptroller Curry speech (May 2012).



Vendor Management Components

- Four components to vendor management program:
 - Risk assessment
 - Due diligence of potential service providers
 - Negotiation of appropriate contractual terms
 - Ongoing monitoring of service providers
- Strong policies, procedures, and contracts are not enough.
- Banks must enforce policies, procedures, and contract rights.
- CFPB has looked closely at the training of bank *and servicer provider* personnel in relevant bank policies and procedures.
- Vendor management programs are bank-specific.
- Special considerations when applied to TSPs.



Component 1: Risk Assessment

- Risk assessment should *identify and measure* risks associated with the proposed service provider relationship.
 - Risks include operational, strategic, compliance/legal, transaction, credit, and reputation risks.
- The risk assessment should also result in the identification of contractual and other measures necessary to *monitor and control* these identified risks.
- “Headline risks”
 - Data security and privacy risks
 - UDAAP risks
 - AML/BSA
 - FCPA and other country risks



Component 2: Due Diligence

- Diligence review must evaluate proposed service provider's expertise, operations and controls, and financial condition.
 - Data security program
 - Business continuity program
 - Customer complaints
 - Training of personnel
 - Compliance with law
 - Insurance coverage
 - Backgrounds of principals and key personnel
- Diligence review should extend to any material sub-contractors and diligence process in selecting those sub-contractors.



Component 3: Contractual Terms

- Contracts must address the risks identified in the risk assessment and due diligence phases.
- Specific terms will vary for each arrangement.
 - Clear specification of the services / SLAs
 - Appropriate termination rights and transition assistance provisions
 - Audit rights or other provisions facilitating bank's oversight
 - Reporting obligations to enable the bank to monitor the service provider's performance and financial condition
 - Appropriate business continuity program requirements
 - IP and data ownership provisions
 - Indemnity and insurance provisions
- Not enough to have good contracts. Banks must ensure contract rights are enforced.
- Red lights – visible departures from the bank's form GSA



Component 4: Ongoing Monitoring

- After signing an agreement, the bank must proactively monitor the service provider's performance, internal controls, and financial condition.
- Monitoring often accomplished through audits by the bank's internal auditors.
 - In some cases sufficient monitoring is possible through audits by the service provider's own auditors or by third parties.
- Banks should confirm remediation of audit-disclosed deficiencies within a reasonable period of time.
- There should be penalties for deficiencies and failures to remediate deficiencies.
- Monitoring must extend to any sub-contractors.



Application to TSPs

- As discussed, bank controls over outsourced operations must ensure activities are conducted in a safe and sound manner and in compliance with law.
- Controls should be risk-based.
- Unique risks presented by TSPs tend to require risk controls unique to TSPs.
 - TSP risk assessments
 - TSP contracts



TSP Risk Assessments

- A bank's risk assessment generally should identify and measure risks associated with a vendor relationship.
- For TSPs, risk assessment should particularly focus on:
 - sensitivity of data accessed or controlled;
 - criticality of outsourced functions;
 - experience and reliance on subcontractors;
 - ability to maintain business continuity;
 - redundancy and reliability of communication lines; and
 - scalability and ability to accommodate growth.
- The RFP process – including the requirements definition – is particularly important for TSP outsourcing.



TSP Contracts

- Service provider contracts must address the risks identified in risk assessment and due diligence phases.
- TSP contracts are subject to the same requirements that are applicable to service provider contracts generally.
- Some contract terms are of heightened importance in the TSP context, e.g.:
 - restrictions on subcontracting;
 - restrictions on foreign-based operations; and
 - indemnity.
- TSP contracts should ensure bank has a viable exit strategy.



Regulatory Examinations



Dual Examinations

- Bank regulators evaluate risk management primarily through direct examination of financial institutions.
 - As a result, service providers' primary concern will be complying with banks' vendor management programs.
- However, regulators may also conduct examinations of service providers. 12 U.S.C. § 1867(c).
- Regulators coordinate interagency programs to supervise TSPs through FFIEC.
 - Interagency examinations reduce need for separate examinations of TSPs that service financial institutions supervised by more than one regulator.



Bank Examinations

- Examinations assess bank process for identifying and managing technology outsourcing risks.
 - Process-focused: RFP process; service provider selection process; contracting process; and monitoring process.
 - Also reviews policies governing periodic ranking of service providers by risk to set monitoring priorities.
- Examination also evaluates individual contracts.
 - For selected contracts, examiners assess the RFP, the service provider diligence, the terms of the contract, and the actual monitoring activities.
 - This requires that banks maintain records of the diligence, contract negotiations, and monitoring activities.



TSP Examinations

- Examinations are on-site risk-based assessments covering a wide variety of servicer activities.
- Objective is to identify risks that can adversely affect serviced financial institutions. Focused on IT risks.
- Exam frequency depends on risks presented.
 - 24-month, 36-month, and 48-month cycles.
 - One full and one interim review during each cycle.
 - Interim reviews identify changes in IT risk management and confirm remediation of weaknesses identified in last ROE.
- Examination results in a Report of Examination (ROE) and an assignment of an URSIT rating.



TSP Examinations (cont.)

- Four stages to an exam by a bank regulator.
 - Pre-exam planning and information requests
 - Exam kickoff meeting
 - Actual examination
 - Close of exam
- Pre-exam planning and information requests
 - Regulator will deliver an information request
 - Privileged documents will need to be delivered
 - TSP should request confidential treatment
- Exam kickoff meeting
 - Regulator might conduct an introductory presentation of the team
 - TSP's exam team should attend
 - Attendance by an executive conveys that TSP understands importance of the exam



TSP Examinations (cont.)

- Actual examination
 - Exam manager should meet daily with regulator's exam team
 - Regulator may provide feedback informally during the exam
 - Important to take feedback seriously and establish a cooperative spirit
 - For major findings, TSP should consider scheduling a presentation to address the examiners' concerns
 - TSP should notify employees that they should cooperate fully if contacted by examiners
 - TSP should prepare likely interviewees in advance
- Close of exam
 - Preliminary findings discussed
 - URSIT rating will be assessed
 - Poor rating may require board meeting with examiners



URSIT Ratings

- Examination results in rating (scale of 1 through 5)
- Rating scores the management of IT-related risks to determine the degree of supervisory attention needed and to ensure weaknesses are addressed.
 - Used to rate IT risks at both banks and TSPs.
- Components
 - Audit – internal controls relating to IT and ability to independently assess risk exposures.
 - Management – ability of the board and management with respect to IT acquisition, development, and operations.
 - Development and acquisition – ability to identify, acquire, install, and maintain IT solutions.
 - Support and delivery – ability to provide technology services in a secure environment.



CFPB Examinations

- Expansive jurisdiction
- Consumer-centric paradigm
- Coordination with enforcement
- Privilege and scope issues



Enforcement Actions

- Regulators have a range of actions to resolve issues identified in a bank or TSP examination.
- Issues may be:
 - noted orally or generally discussed in ROE;
 - identified in ROE as a matter requiring management attention or remediation;
 - cited in ROE as a violation of law or unsafe or unsound practice;
 - subject to memorandum of understanding contemplating specific remedial actions;
 - subject to public enforcement actions contemplating specific remedial actions; and/or
 - subject to civil monetary penalties.



Case Studies



Case Studies

- Data breach
- Post-contract developments
- Services for multiple institutions
- Multi-jurisdictional issues



Questions?

Russell Bruemmer

+1 202 663 6804

Russell.Bruemmer@wilmerhale.com

Eric Mogilnicki

+1 202 663 6410

Eric.Mogilnicki@wilmerhale.com

Jeffrey Hydrick

+1 202 663 6567

Jeffrey.Hydrick@wilmerhale.com

Jonathan McKernan

+1 202 663 6803

Jonathan.McKernan@wilmerhale.com