

# Nanotechnology and the Environment

**Nine Zeros Nanotechnology  
Breakfast Roundtable**

**October 4, 2004**

# Nanotechnology and the Environment

## Robert C. Kirsch, Esq.

- [robert.kirsch@wilmerhale.com](mailto:robert.kirsch@wilmerhale.com)
- (617) 526-6779

## Mark C. Kalpin, Esq.

- [mark.kalpin@wilmerhale.com](mailto:mark.kalpin@wilmerhale.com)
- (617) 526-6176

## Melissa Hoffer, Esq.

- (617) 526-6875
- [melissa.hoffer@wilmerhale.com](mailto:melissa.hoffer@wilmerhale.com)

# New Dog, Old Tricks

- ❖ **Manufacture, processing, use, distribution in commerce and disposal of nanomaterials currently unregulated**
- ❖ **Many unknowns concerning potential health and environmental effects**
- ❖ **Existing/new regulatory schemes likely will apply; precautions and practical experience gained in other contexts may reduce risk**

# TSCA

- ❖ **Regulates manufacture and use of “chemical substances”**
  - ❖ Manufacturer testing / data collection
  - ❖ Pre-manufacture notice (PMN) and EPA review
  - ❖ EPA may prohibit, limit, and regulate manufacture/use of chemical substances
- ❖ **EPA regulation may not impede technological innovation**

# TSCA

## ❖ Chemical substances include:

- Existing chemical substances
- New chemical substances
- Significant new uses of existing chemical substances

# TSCA

- ❖ **Threshold question: are nanoparticles “new chemical substances” under TSCA**
- ❖ Nanoparticles are configurations of common elements that are not new chemical substances
  - EPA has expressed doubt that nanomaterials would fall within TSCA’s definition of “new chemical substance”

# TSCA

- ❖ **Manufacture and use of common elements at the nanoscale may constitute a “significant new use”**
  - EPA has indicated it will treat each new category of nanomaterials as a “significant new use”
  - Such a determination would trigger PMN requirement

# TSCA

## ❖ SNU determination requires EPA to consider:

- Projected volume of substance manufactured / processed
- Change in type or form of human / environmental exposure to substance
- Extent use increases exposure
- Reasonably anticipated methods of manufacturing, processing, distribution, and disposal



# TSCA

## ❖ Practical considerations assuming nanomaterials regulated as SNUs

- Exemptions may apply (LVE, R&D)
- Notice must include test data in “possession and control”
- Notice must include description of data “known to the person making the notice or insofar as reasonably ascertainable”



# Clean Air Act

- ❖ Designation as § 112 Hazardous air pollutant?
- ❖ Regulate as  $PM \leq 2.5$ ?
- ❖ Independent state regulation?

# Occupational Safety and Health Act “OSHA”

## ❖ Protects worker health and safety

- Sets standards for permissible exposure limits (PEL)
- Regulations implementing OSHA set standards for personal protective equipment
- Standards are based on health effects data
- Does not apply to companies with fewer than 11 employees (Guidance applies)

# National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

- ❖ **Applies to all “major federal actions” having significant affect on environment**
  - Major federal actions include funding
- ❖ **Environmental impact review**
  - Assess environmental impacts of proposed projects
    - Consider Alternatives
    - Mitigation measures
- ❖ **“Mini-NEPA” state analogs**

# California Proposition 65

- ❖ **1986 California Law**
- ❖ **Reduce exposure to chemicals known to cause cancer or to have reproductive toxicity by:**
  - requiring warnings
  - prohibiting discharge into drinking water

# International Considerations: The European Union

## ❖ The European Union

- Created in 1993
- Currently 25 member states, with 4 more pending
- Membership open to any European country with stable democratic government, good human rights record, functioning market economy, and sound macroeconomic policies
- European Commission responsible for proposing legislation, and administration and enforcement

# European Union – Environmental Protection

## ❖ Sixth Action Program for the Environment

- ❖ Establishes EU environmental priorities through 2010
- ❖ Four target areas: climate change; nature and biodiversity; environment and health; and management of natural resources and waste
- ❖ Implementation instruments: eco-labeling; environmental inspections; end-of-life vehicles



# The Precautionary Principle

- ❖ **Develop and implement guidelines to ensure a high level of protection of the environment and of human, animal and plant health whenever the available scientific data do not permit a complete evaluation of the risk**
  - ❖ Scientific/objective evaluation identifies potentially dangerous effects of new product/process
  - ❖ Evaluation does not allow risk to be determined with sufficient certainty
  - ❖ Function of risk considered acceptable by society

# EU REACH Proposal

## ❖ Implements Precautionary Principle

- Replaces > 40 existing EU directives
- Requires companies to assess risks arising from chemical use, and take measures to manage risk
- Manufacture/import of > 1 ton of chemical/year
- Establishes central database
- Substances of high concern would require Commission authorization

# EU Commission and Nanotechnology

- ❖ **Make maximum use of existing regulations to ensure high level of public health, safety, consumer and environmental protection**
  - ❖ Nanotechnologies must address any potential risk upfront, as early as possible, on basis of reliable scientific data/analysis
  - ❖ Nanotechnology = creation of new chemical
  - ❖ Assessment of risk must be integrated into every step of life cycle
  - ❖ Potential use of Precautionary Principle

# Royal Society & Royal Academy of Engineering Report (July 2004)

## ❖ Environmental Recommendations:

- Treat nanoparticles as if hazardous
  - reduce and remove from waste stream
  - establish end-of-life management requirement
- Assess risk of release through product lifecycle
- Prohibit use of nanoparticles in environmental applications pending additional research and risk/benefit analysis

# Royal Society/Academy Report (cont.)

## ❖ Health Recommendations:

- Establish Research Center to:
  - Research toxicity/epidemiology
  - Research persistence in environment and risk of bioaccumulation
  - Develop instruments for monitoring
- Maintain and share database of results

# Royal Society/Report (cont.)

## ❖ Regulatory Recommendations:

- Adapt existing regulatory frameworks
  - UK TSCA Analog
    - treat as “new substances”
    - evaluate production thresholds that trigger testing
- Develop new regulatory framework
  - EU REACH proposal

# Royal Society/Academy Report (cont.)

## ❖ Regulatory Recommendations (cont.):

- Consider setting lower occupational exposure limits for nanoparticles
- Review current procedures for accidental release
- Nanoparticle ingredients in products like sunscreen should undergo safety assessment
- Manufacturers publish safety assessment methods used
- Label Consumer products containing nanoparticles

# Insurance Implications

## ❖ Swiss Re

- ❖ Concern over lack of existing regulations
- ❖ Comparison drawn to asbestos, and need to promptly develop consensus on benefits vs. risks

## ❖ Munich Re

- ❖ Numerous potential liabilities exist: environmental and worker
- ❖ Inability to trace liability to specific manufacturer



# What Are Industry Leaders Doing Now?

## **Implement:**

- prudent lab practices
- Education
- Hazard communication

## **Treat nanomaterials as if hazardous:**

- Seek zero emissions
- Apply the same safety management protocols as for hazardous chemicals, and biological and radioactive materials

# Facility Siting Considerations

Lawrence Berkeley National Labs Molecular Foundry

**“Not even the Environmental Protection Agency knows the impact of these things, but we’re ready to let them loose in Berkeley.”**

**Tom Kelly, Berkeley Commission on Health**

# Public Relations

## ❖ **Communication:**

- ❖ Public and policymakers must have access to understandable scientific data
- ❖ Public must be involved to ensure trust and credibility
- ❖ **Acknowledge potential risks and promote potential benefits**

# Regulatory Development Considerations

## ❖ United States -- Potential Revisions to:

- TSCA
  - Imposition of new requirements
  - Applicability of existing exemptions (LVE, R&D)
- State regulations (California Proposition 65)

## ❖ European Union

- Potential revisions to UK TSCA Analog
- Finalization of REACH Proposal

# Nanotechnology and the Environment

## Robert C. Kirsch, Esq.

- [robert.kirsch@wilmerhale.com](mailto:robert.kirsch@wilmerhale.com)
- (617) 526-6779

## Mark C. Kalpin, Esq.

- [mark.kalpin@wilmerhale.com](mailto:mark.kalpin@wilmerhale.com)
- (617) 526-6176

## Melissa Hoffer, Esq.

- [melissa.hoffer@wilmerhale.com](mailto:melissa.hoffer@wilmerhale.com)
- (617) 526-6875