REGULATORY UPDATE: CURRENT SEC AND NASD INITIATIVES AFFECTING MUTUAL FUNDS

September 25, 2000

Pamela J. Wilson
Hale and Dorr LLP

HALE AND DORR LLP

Marketing and Sale of Mutual Fund Shares

Performance Advertising

- The most common issue in 2000 has been advertising extraordinary performance resulting from non-recurring events
 - Unusually good (above 100%) 1999
 performance of technology stocks and funds
 that concentrate in them
 - Disproportionate allocation of hot IPOs to small funds

Advertising: SEC Action

- Enforcement action against fund adviser involved failure to disclose:
 - That the portfolio manager for the advertised fund and three funds with similar investment strategies had disproportionately allocated hot IPOs to the advertised fund
 - That a large percentage of the fund's performance was attributable to these hot IPOs

Advertising: SEC Action

- Sanctions against adviser
 - \$950,000 fine to SEC
 - \$1.6 million contribution to investor education programs
 - \$400,000 payment to New York
 - Undertaking to engage and follow recommendations of compliance consultant
 - Adverse publicity

Advertising: NASDR Action

- Notice to Members 00-21: advertising of performance attributable to 1999 market conditions or hot IPO investments should disclose:
 - That the performance was due to unusually favorable and unsustainable conditions
 - The nature of these conditions
 - That the favorable conditions and the advertised performance are unlikely to recur

Advertising: NASDR Action

- Enforcement proceeding against mutual fund distributor for advertisements containing line graphs depicting the performance of a \$10,000 investment
 - Line sloped diagonally upwards without any dips, implying no risk of loss
 - Bottom of line said "you are here" while top of line said "your future is here"
 - Dollar values on vertical axis overstated performance

Advertising: Bond Ratings

- SEC approved a temporary NASDR rule allowing the inclusion of bond fund volatility ratings in sales literature that is preceded or accompanied by the fund's prospectus
- The rule contains strict conditions and requires ratings to be accompanied by detailed disclosure

Advertising: Other SEC Action

- Interim Final Rule 160 under the Securities Act of 1933
 - Confirms that investor consent is not needed to deliver fund prospectuses on web sites to accompany sales literature
 - Doesn't apply to other prospectus delivery requirements
 - Responds to Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act

Advertising: Other SEC Action

- Janus no-action letter (8/28/00)
 - Allowed advertising for a fund that was formed by spinning off an entire class to show the prespin-off performance of that class
 - The new fund would operate as a continuation of the class, with the same adviser, investment policies and portfolio composition
 - The relief would not apply to a fund formed by carving out part of a class

Sales Practices: Suitability

- NASD Regulation's regulatory and compliance alert warned members against recommending higher expense back-end or level load fund classes to:
 - Investors investing large enough amounts to qualify for discounts on front-end sales charges
 - Investors planning a long term investment in a fund

Sales Practices: Suitability

- The NASDR compliance alert recommended that:
 - Registered representatives ask investors about their goals and time horizons
 - Registered representatives disclose the higher long term expenses of back-end and level load classes
 - Brokerage firms maintain records of these discussions

- SEC recently sanctioned a brokerage firm for failing to prevent improper switching between mutual funds
 - Branch office representative generated
 \$157,000 in unnecessary sales commissions
 - Brokerage firm had written supervisory procedures but didn't effectively implement them
 - Firm had to reimburse customers and pay \$200,000 fine

- SEC administrative law judge found that two broker representatives misled market timing clients by:
 - Not disclosing that using back-end load share classes of mutual funds would be more expensive than using front-end load classes in market timing strategy
 - Not disclosing representatives' scheme to avoid detection by funds that reject market timers

- SEC administrative law judge rejected:
 - Representatives' defense that they had delivered fund prospectuses disclosing sales charges
 - SEC's contention that the representatives had a duty under the Investment Company Act to disclose that they received larger commission credits for selling back-end load shares
- Representatives were suspended and fined

- In a different case, an SEC administrative law judge dismissed an SEC proceeding against a broker representative because:
 - Representative's customers held shares of a particular fund for periods ranging from 16 months to several years
 - Although customers later purchased shares of a riskier fund, the representative fully informed them about the costs and risks

NASDR Sales Charge Limits

- Effective 4/1/00, NASD conduct rule 2830 was amended to:
 - Apply sales charge limits to funds of funds
 - Allow funds to charge installment loads
 - Prohibit sales charges on reinvested dividends
 - Regulate the order of redeeming shares subject to back-end sales charges
 - Eliminate duplicative prospectus disclosure requirement

Non-Cash Compensation

- NASD Regulation clarified training and education exception to prohibition of non-cash compensation to other NASD members' representatives
 - Training meetings should occupy substantially all of the work day
 - Payment or reimbursement for meals, lodging and transportation of representatives (not guests) is okay
 - Payment or reimbursement for golf, tours and entertainment is not okay

Interval Funds: NASD Action

- Effective 6/20/00, NASDR amended conduct rules 2710 and 2830 to exempt interval funds from requirements that apply to other closed end funds:
 - Prospectus will no longer have to be filed with NASDR
 - Limits on underwriting compensation will no longer apply
 - Sales charge limits of rule 2830 will apply to interval funds

Disclosure to and Communications With Fund Investors

- SEC interpretive release issued 4/28/00 clarified required procedures for obtaining consent to electronic delivery of required disclosure documents
 - It is acceptable to obtain consent by telephone,
 with procedures to verify authenticity and
 detailed record keeping
 - Prospectuses and other documents may be delivered in Portable Document Format if disclosure and access to necessary software are provided

- SEC interpretive release clarified that investors may provide "global" consent to the electronic delivery of all documents if:
 - The global consent feature, the media used to deliver documents and investors' ability to revoke consent are prominently disclosed
 - Brokers, other than online brokers, do not condition the opening of an account on providing global consent

- SEC interpretive release generated confusion and controversy about when a fund adopts content of third party web sites hyperlinked from a fund's site
 - Hyperlinks imbedded in web site documents
 required to be filed with the SEC are presumed to
 be adopted--this would literally include all fund
 advertising and sales literature
 - The release created a subjective facts and circumstances test for hyperlinks imbedded in other web site documents

- SEC's 7/27/00 release clarified that imbedding hyperlinks in mutual fund advertising and sales literature does not automatically lead to adoption of hyperlinked site's content
- SEC release didn't eliminate ambiguity inherent in facts and circumstances test
- Test is still less objective than NASDR exemptions for links to educational sites and ongoing hyperlinks

- Effective 10/1/00: Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act
 - Authorizes the use of electronic
 authentication technology as a substitute for
 manual signatures
 - Creates consumer consent procedures for the electronic delivery of any legally required disclosure document

- Electronic Signatures Act consumer consent procedures differ from SEC procedures by:
 - Requiring consent in an electronic format that demonstrates consumer's access to electronic delivery technology
 - Allowing businesses to avoid or terminate
 relationships with non-consenting consumers

Disclosing After-Tax Returns

- On 3/15/00, the SEC proposed to require tabular disclosure of 1, 5 and 10 year after tax returns in:
 - Prospectus risk/return summaries
 - Management's discussion of fund performance in annual reports
- Both pre-liquidation and post-liquidation figures would be shown

Disclosing After-Tax Returns

- The returns would reflect the highest federal marginal tax rate
- After-tax returns could be excluded from documents:
 - Offering shares exclusively to tax deferred or tax exempt accounts
 - For money market funds
- The proposal hasn't been adopted yet

Disclosure in Confirmations

- SEC filed an *amicus* brief in a lawsuit challenging the omission of rule 12b-1 payments to broker from rule 10b-10 confirmations
 - SEC agreed that rule 10b-10 requires
 disclosure of asset based fees
 - SEC stated that required prospectus and SAI disclosure about 12b-1 and adviser payments could be used to satisfy rule 10b-10 requirement

New Privacy Rule

- Regulation S-P requires a mutual fund, brokerdealer or registered adviser to:
 - Disclose to individuals its policies about protecting non-public personal information and how individuals can opt out of sharing this information with non-exempt unaffiliated persons
 - Establish procedures to protect the security,
 confidentiality and integrity of customer records
 and information

New Privacy Rule

- Notices can be included in other documents but must be conspicuous
- After 7/1/2001, notices must be provided to new customers at the time the relationship is established
- The deadline for sending out the initial notices to existing customers and consumers is 7/1/2001
- It's okay to household privacy notices

New Privacy Rules

- There are opt out exemptions for disclosures to unaffiliated service providers and marketing partners
- Funds should add confidentiality clauses to contracts with service providers (deadline for existing contracts is 7/1/2002)
- Mutual fund advisers and transfer agents aren't deemed to have customer relationships with fund shareholders

Fund Investment Operations

Portfolio Transactions

- SEC inspection staff has been focusing on:
 - Process for determining whether adviser is obtaining best execution for fund transactions—the staff wants to see evidence of periodic review of existing brokerage relationships and practices
 - Allocation of scarce investment opportunities, such as hot IPOs

Regulation FD

- Effective 10/23/00, Regulation FD will
 - Prohibit public companies from knowingly disclosing nonpublic information to securities market professionals, including fund portfolio managers, without simultaneous public disclosure
 - Require companies to promptly follow unintentional disclosure with public disclosure

Foreign Custodians

- SEC adopted new rule 17f-7 and amendments to rule 17f-5
 - They were effective 6/12/00 but final compliance deadline is 7/2/2001
 - They establish conditions for the use of foreign securities depositories to hold mutual fund assets
 - Fund or adviser, not custodian, must decide whether to use a depository

Foreign Custodians

- A fund may use an eligible securities depository regulated by a foreign financial regulator if the depository:
 - Holds the fund's assets under safekeeping conditions at least as good as those for other participants
 - Maintains records to identify participants' assets and segregates them from its own assets

Foreign Custodians

- A fund may use an eligible securities depository regulated by a foreign financial regulator if the depository:
 - Provides periodic reports to participants
 - Undergoes periodic examinations by regulatory authorities or independent accountants

Foreign Custodians

- Custody contract must
 - Require custodian to provide to fund or adviser an analysis of the custody risks of using an eligible depository
 - Require custodian to monitor these risks on an ongoing basis and promptly notify fund or adviser of material changes
 - Impose a duty on custodian to exercise at least reasonable care

Internal Controls

- Office of Compliance, Inspections and Examinations issued a 5/1/00 letter focusing on adviser issues and identifying these weaknesses
 - Allowing portfolio managers to value securities or override values supplied by custodians without supervision
 - Having written procedures but not monitoring for compliance with them
 - Not monitoring risks assumed by portfolio managers against clients' risk tolerance

Transactions With Affiliates and Other Conflicts of Interest

- A 6/7/00 no-action letter allowed an adviser to aggregate private placement purchases by multiple funds, clients and proprietary accounts under the following conditions:
 - The only negotiable term would be the purchase price
 - The adviser's board or investment committee would approve a trade aggregation policy statement

- Conditions of no-action relief:
 - The adviser would establish an aggregation committee to develop written aggregation procedures
 - These procedures would be disclosed in Form ADV and separately to all affected funds and clients
 - Each account's portfolio manager would initially evaluate the suitability of the investment for that account

- Conditions of no-action relief:
 - The adviser would aggregate purchases only to the extent consistent with best execution and the applicable advisory agreement
 - At or before the time of the transaction, the adviser would write a report showing how each aggregated private placement transaction would be allocated

- Conditions of no-action relief:
 - The adviser would review the aggregation procedures annually
 - All accounts would participate at the same unit price
 - The adviser would receive no extra compensation for the transaction
 - Assets of the accounts would be commingled only to the extent needed to settle the trade

Hot IPO Allocation to Fund Directors

- An SEC administrative law judge censured and imposed civil penalties on an adviser and 3 directors of a fund for anti-fraud violations
 - The adviser had allocated hot IPO stock to the directors, who sold the stock for a profit of over \$100,000
 - None of them disclosed this conflict of interest to the fund's shareholders

- Rule 17j-1 was amended in 1999
- As of 3/1/00, fund advisers should have:
 - Identified and listed access persons and notified them of their reporting obligations
 - Started using the expanded form of quarterly transaction report (reflecting new brokerage accounts)

- As of 3/1/00, advisers should have:
 - Started obtaining initial holdings reports from newly employed access persons
 - Started requiring portfolio managers to preclear IPO and private placement investments
 - Adopted procedures to review reports

- As of 9/1/00, fund boards should have:
 - Approved new codes for funds, advisers and distributors that comply with amended rule 17j-1
 - Determined that the codes contain provisions reasonably necessary to prevent violations
 - Obtained supporting certifications from fund officers, the adviser and the principal underwriter

- If codes were amended after this year's annual amendment to the fund's registration statement, remember to file them as exhibits to the next amendment
- Also remember to obtain annual holdings reports from all access persons

- MacKenzie obtained an 8/10/00 no-action letter allowing independent directors of a fund adviser to use the same reporting exemptions as independent fund trustees provided that
 - The directors would not be interested persons
 of the adviser if not for their directorships and
 owning stock of the adviser
 - The directors are not involved in the day to day operations of the adviser

Redemptions in Kind

- Signature no-action letter dated 12/28/99 authorized redemptions in kind by affiliates under the following conditions:
 - Redeeming shareholder must receive a proportionate share of the fund's portfolio securities
 - Securities must be valued according to the fund's regular procedures

Redemptions in Kind

- Conditions for redeeming affiliates' shares in kind
 - Redemptions must be consistent with prospectus and SAI disclosure
 - Neither the redeeming shareholder nor any other person with a pecuniary interest may influence the selection of the distributed securities

Redemptions in Kind

- Conditions for redeeming affiliates' shares in kind
 - The redemption in kind must either
 - Be specifically approved by fund board or
 - Be effected under procedures adopted by the board
 - The fund must create and keep a record for each redemption in kind

Mutual Fund Governance

- Under SEC rule proposal, to rely on various commonly used exemptive rules:
 - Independent directors must be a majority of fund's board of directors
 - Independent directors must select and nominate other independent directors

- Under SEC rule proposals
 - The minimum percentage requirement for independent directors would be temporarily suspended if the shortfall were caused by an independent director's death or resignation
 - Joint errors and omissions policies would have to cover lawsuits between independent directors and fund advisers

- Under SEC rule proposals
 - Any legal counsel for the fund's independent directors must be independent-controversial, but appears likely to be adopted
 - Fund shareholders would no longer have to ratify or reject the directors' selection of an independent public accountant if audit committee conditions are met

- Under SEC rule proposals
 - A new exemption would prevent qualified independent director candidates investing in index funds that hold adviser stock from being disqualified
 - Funds would be required to keep records of their assessments of director independence

- Fund SAIs would be required to disclose
 - The board's role in governing the fund's operations
 - Basic information about the identity and business experience of directors
 - Ownership of fund shares by directors--they may not have to disclose exact amount
 - Information about directors' potential conflicts of interest

Interim Advisory Contracts

- Amended rule 15a-4 authorizes fund boards, after acquisition of an adviser, to approve an interim advisory contract without immediately obtaining shareholder approval
 - Board must approve interim contract before previous contract terminates
 - Board must find that the scope and quality of services under the interim contract are the same as under previous contract

Interim Advisory Contracts

- Rule 15a-4 conditions
 - Fees under interim contract can't exceed fees under previous contract
 - Differences in interim contract can't be material
 - Term of interim contract can't exceed 150 days
 - Fees must be held in escrow pending shareholder approval of new contract
 - If new contract is not approved, the adviser is only reimbursed for costs